



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,259	07/03/2001	Eiji Nakashio	09792909-5083	1899	
. 7	590 02/19/2003				
David R. Metzger			EXAMINER		
SONNENSCH P.O. Box # 061	EIN NATH & ROSEN 1080	BEACHAM, CHRISTOPHER R			
Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 6	Station, Sears Tower 0606-1080	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2653		
•			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A)

a'		Application N	o. —	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
Office Action Summary		09/898,259		NAKASHIO ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	_
		Christopher R.		2653	
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communicationly	n appears on the cov	er sheet with the d	correspondence addre)SS
THE MAILII - Extensions or after SIX (6) - If the period of the period of Failure to rep - Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI If time may be available under the provisions of 37 C MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory play within the set or extended period for reply will, by eived by the Office later than three months after the t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, he on. a reply within the statutory period will apply and will explostatute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
_	ponsive to communication(s) filed or	•			
,—		This action is non	-final		
· —	e this application is in condition for a	_		rosecution as to the r	marite ie
clos Disposition of	ed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayi</i>	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	Herits is
4)⊠ Claim	n(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
4a) O	f the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consid	eration.		
5)∐ Claim	n(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim Application Pa	n(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requi	rement.		
	pecification is objected to by the Exa	minor			
·	rawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2001</u> is/are			a Francisco	
			•		
	licant may not request that any objection roposed drawing correction filed on _				
	proved, corrected drawings are required			oved by the Examiner.	
	ath or declaration is objected to by the		action.		
	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	owledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority under	35119 C & 110/a)-(d) or (f)	
	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign phonty under		ij-(u) or (i).	
	Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been re	ceived		
2.	Certified copies of the priority docur			on No	
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the				200
	application from the International attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).		iye
14)∏ Acknov	vledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
	he translation of the foreign languag wledgment is made of a claim for dor				
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		Interview Summary Notice of Informal F Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1	<u> </u>
J.S. Patent and Trademark (PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01		ice Action Summary		Part of Pa	per No. 3

Application/Control Number: 09/898,259

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 4 is not complete. Examiner will assume for examination purposes that claim 4 has the same limitations as claim 9. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 5 and 10 recites the limitation "The apparatus according to claim 1" in the first sentence. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gill (US 6,256,178).
- 6. Regarding claims 1, 2, 6 and 7, Dill shows a magnetic tunnel effect type magnetic head comprising:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/898,259 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

a first soft magnetic conductive layer 80, which is to provide a lower shielding, layer;

a metal oxide layer 235 and a first nonmagnetic conductive layer, formed on the first soft magnetic conductive layer, to provide a lower gap layer.

a magnetic tunnel junction layer 230 formed on the first nonmagnetic conductive layer to provide a magnetic tunnel junction effect;

a second nonmagnetic conductive layer 225 formed on the magnetic tunnel junction layer 230 to provide an upper gap layer; and

a second soft magnetic conductive layer 82 formed on the second nonmagnetic conductive layer 225 to provide an upper shielding layer;

the spacer layer 235 of the lower gap layer is disposed beneath at least the magnetic tunnel junction layer (see Figure 14).

Gill teaches that a metal oxide layer 235 that may be made from aluminum oxide, aluminum nitride or silicone dioxide and is sandwiched between the first and second shield layers (col. 8, lines 26-28). Additionally, Gill discloses a non-conductive insulation layer being located between the antiferromagnetic pinning layer and the first shield layer in the track width (col. 9, lines 28-30).

7. Regarding claims 5 and 10, Gill shows the apparatus being of a yoke type in which the magnetic tunnel junction element is not exposed from a medium-opposite face (see Figure 10).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,259

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claims 3, 4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gill (US 6,256,178) as applied to claims 1 and 6 above.
- 10. Regarding claims 3, 4, 8 and 9, Gill does not set forth the dimensions in these claims. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the metal oxide layer of Gill with the claimed dimensions through routine experimentation and optimization in the absence of criticality.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Nakatani et al. (US 5,390,061) is cited to show a multiplayer magnetoresistance effect-type magnetic head.
 - Tsuge (US 6,392,381 B1) is cited to show a ferromagnetic tunnel junction device and method of forming the same.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/898,259

Art Unit: 2653

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Beacham whose telephone number is (703) 605-4256. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8: 00 am-5: 30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Christopher R. Beacham

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2653 February 10, 2003

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600